

Draft Insurable Interest Bill June 2018

Response form

This optional response form is provided for consultees' convenience in responding to the questions on the draft bill and its impact.

We are happy to receive simple yes/no answers but more detailed comments would also be helpful. You do not have to respond to every question. Answers are not limited in length (the box should expand, if necessary, as you type).

We invite responses by 14 September 2018. Deadline extended to 31 October 2018

Please send your completed form by email to:

commercialandcommon@lawcommission.gov.uk

Privacy Notice

Under the General Data Protection Regulations (May 2018), the Law Commissions must state the lawful bases for processing personal data. The Commissions have a statutory function, stated in the 1965 Act, to receive and consider any proposals for the reform of the law which may be made or referred to us. This need to consult widely requires us to process personal data in order for us to meet our statutory functions as well as to perform a task, namely reform of the law, which is in the public interest. We therefore rely on the following lawful bases:

- **(c) Legal obligation:** processing is necessary for compliance with a legal obligation to which the controller is subject
- **(e) Public task:** processing is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the controller.

Law Commission projects are usually lengthy and often the same area of law will be considered on more than one occasion. The Commissions will, therefore retain personal data in line with our retention and deletion policies, via hard copy filing and electronic filing, and, in the case of the Law Commission of England and Wales, a bespoke stakeholder management database, unless we are asked to do otherwise. We will only use personal data for the purposes outlined above.

Freedom of information

We may publish or disclose information you provide us in response to our papers, including personal information. For example, we may publish an extract of your response in our publications, or publish the response in its entirety. We may also share any responses received with Government. Additionally, we may be required to disclose the information, such as in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002. If you want information that you provide to be treated as confidential please contact us first, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic disclaimer generated by your IT system will not be regarded as binding on the Law Commissions. The Law Commissions will process your personal data in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulations, which came into force in May 2018.

Any concerns about the contents of this Privacy Notice can be directed to: enquiries@lawcommission.gov.uk.

How to complete this form

Please **SAVE A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT** before you begin to enter your responses. Once you have completed your response, please save the document and email it to commercialandcommon@lawcommission.gov.uk.

Your details

Name	Natalie Darby, Head of Policy: Regulatory Issues and Law Reform		
Organisation	The Bar Council		
Type of response			
	Response on behalf of above named organisation		
Email address	NDarby@BarCouncil.org.uk		
Telephone number	020 7611 1311		
	nation as confidential. As explained above, we will take full but cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be es.		

Chapter 2: Explaining the draft Insurable Interest Bill

Q1	Do consultees have	e any further co	mments on clause 1 of the d	raft Bill (Definit
	Yes	No	Other	
is very wid	dely drawn. We ard I as covering liabili	e concerned th ty insurance, s	ontract of life-related insur at it could potentially be uch as employers liability ention of the Law Commiss	
Q2			updated drafting in claus	e 2(3)(b) is
	Yes	No	Other	
Yes, altho schemes.	•	e particular ex	pertise on group pension	
Q3	Do consultees consider that it is useful to provide that the insured has an insurable interest where the policy is for the benefit of the life insured or their nominee?			
No, we do not think it is useful to have this provision in clause 2(3)(b) as it is rather widely drawn and could lead to uncertainty. It is not necessary to have this as an example in a non-exhaustive list of circumstances where an insured has an insurable interest.				
Q4W		•	ion in which an insured sho mstances, or where this cla	
	Yes	No	Other	
We cannot envisage circumstances where an insured would not have such an insurable interest or where this could be abused.				

Q5	Do consultees consider that clause 2(4) is appropriately framed to cater for all the types of trust commonly used?			
	Yes	No	Other	
Yes. We w	ould suggest redr	afting this clause	so it reads	
"in any cir	cumstances where	e the settlor or tru	stee of the trust	
has or would have had an insurable interest for the purposes of that contract."				
Q6	Do insurance cor law? If so, how?	ntracts cater for "mi	id-term beneficiaries" under the current	
	Yes	No	Other	
We do not	have sufficient ex	pertise regarding	this market to comment on this.	
		-		
Q7	Do consultees a beneficiaries"?	igree that clause	2(5) caters adequately for "mid-term	
	Yes	No	Other	
We do not	have sufficient ex	pertise regarding	this market to comment on this.	
Q8	The words in brackets at the end of clause 2(5) are intended to cover lives not yet in existence, such as future grandchildren. Do consultees consider that those words are required?			
	Yes	No	Other	
It is probably not necessary to state "including individuals who are not not in existence at that time" but it may be appropriate for those words to remain so there is no doubt about this.				

Q9		Do consultees think it is necessary to explicitly exclude marine insurance contracts, given that the draft Bill is now limited to life-related insurance contracts?				
	Yes	No	Other			
	do not think it is nece ts from the draft Bill.	essary to expr	essly exclude marine	insurance		
Q10	Do consultees agree that it is necessary to retain section of the Life Assurance Act 1774 in order to cover non-lif which that Act applies? Can consultees give any example which would be caught?					
	Yes	No	Other			
	ter 3: The imp		r proposals	J		
Q11	Do consultee	Do consultees agree that our proposals for reform, as set out in the draft Bill, would make the insurance market work better?				
	Yes	No	Other			
this Bill mislead We agre the state	regarding the effect or ing statement about the ee that this should ma ement is untrue or mi	of an insured the insurable insurabl	ur response to the earl giving an untrue stater nterest in commercial ct void where the insu- pes not care whether o sent draft Bill the poss	ment or insurance. red knows r not the		

retention of premium by an insurer only applies to consumer insurance "except to the extent that it would be unfair to the insured for the insurer to retain the premiums". We would suggest that position should be the same

for commercial insureds as is proposed for consumer insureds.

Q12	We believe that our proposals will allow for the development of new products in the UK insurance market, and will remove the commercial disadvantages potentially suffered by insurers who currently comply with the law. Do consultees agree? Do consultees foresee any other benefits?			
	Yes	No	Other	
	some of the propo	•	ent on this, though	
Q13	Are consultees able to give any indication of the monetary value of these, or any such, benefits? Yes No Other			
No				
Q14	We believe that the costs to business of such reform would be minimal. We welcome evidence as to the potential costs.			
We are not in a position to comment on this				